

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 2024
(Arising out of SLP(Crl.)No.8254/2023)

SANJU RAJAN NAYAR

... APPELLANT

Versus

JAYARAJ & ANR.

... RESPONDENTS

ORDER

Leave granted.

1. This appeal is directed against the judgment and order dated 2nd January, 2023 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bangalore in Crl.P.No.606/2022 titled Sri Jayaraj v. State of Karnataka, whereby under Section 482 Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 the High Court quashed the First Information Report¹ bearing No.63 of 2021 dated 8.12.2021 for the offence under Section 7(a) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, pending before the 23rd Additional City Civil and Sessions Judge, Bengaluru.

Signature Not Verified Digitally signed by Jayant Kuma Arora Date: 2024-04.23 18:10:5515T Reason:

^{1 &#}x27;FIR' for short

- 2. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and also perused the written submissions filed by the parties across the Bar.
- 3. Respondent No.1 Jairaj stands exonerated in the departmental proceedings in relation to an inquiry initiated on the basis of the complaint with regard to the allegations of demand for bribe. As a consequence thereof, the FIR in Crime No.63/2021 registered on the basis of the complaint made by the instant appellant, stands quashed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru vide its impugned judgment.
- 4. Briefly set out, the facts are, that the marriage of the instant appellant was solemnized on 21.7.2006. During the subsistence of such marriage, his wife filed a complaint with the SHO Police Station Krishna Rajapuram Police Station alleging the appellant to have sexually harassed his minor child while visiting the child in the school, which resulted into registration of FIR No.555/2018 under the different provisions of the Protection of Children from Sexual

Offences Act, 2012 and Indian Penal Code, 1860. No.2, who was Respondent entrusted with the investigation of the said FIR, demanded and accepted monetary consideration from the instant appellant. Since the demands of bribe continued, the instant appellant brought the factum of such bribe to the of Karnataka Human Rights Commission, notice Bangalore, by placing on record the evidence inter *alia* in the shape of a pendrive. As a result thereof, based on the preliminary inquiry FIR was registered with the Anti Corruption Branch, City Bangalore Police Station on 8.12.2021 being Crime Case No.63 of 2021 under the provisions of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. Based on the preliminary investigation, the authorities also accorded sanction for prosecuting Respondent No.1.

5. In quashing the FIR the High Court observed that "there is no direct evidence, where this petitioner has demanded any money or bribe from the complainant". Also that "there is no material to proceed against this accused No.1. That apart, it is

worth to mention that there was complaint registered against respondent No.2 in Crime No.555/2018 for both offences under Sections POCSO as well as Section 354 IPC on the complaint filed by the wife of of respondent No.2. During the investigation, the petitioner/accused No.2 said to have summoned the complainant to the police station who is said to have been harassed by them and was demanded money. later, only in order to overcome the complaint filed against respondent No.2, by his wife this complaint was filed for taking revenge against the police as they had summoned the respondent No.2 to the police purpose of investigation for the in Crime No.555/2018".

6. At this point in time, we observe that two persons were named as accused whereas the petition for quashing was preferred only by one of the accused, namely, Jairaj. The FIR was categorical that ASI Sivakumar (Accused No.2) had received money and that Police Inspector Jairaj had assured that they would provide chargesheet in lieu of Rs.80,000/-

and that the complainant would also have to pay Rs.500 per week when he visits the police station, as a condition of bail.

- 7. In the aforesaid backdrop, in the considered view of this Court, the approach adopted by the Courts in quashing the FIR in the attending facts and circumstances, is legally unsustainable. It ventured into an inquiry, unwarranted at this stage, holding that there is no direct evidence that the present respondent had demanded any money and that there was material to proceed against him, no completely forgetting, if not ignoring the material which had surfaced during the course of investigation, amongst others, the pendrive, allegedly, indicating complicity in the crime.
- 8. Under these circumstances, in the attending facts and circumstances, we allow the appeal, more so when despite the accused having been exonerated in the departmental proceedings yet the competent authority, vide Annexure P3 proceeded to accord sanction for

prosecution. The High Court, in our considered view, failed to account for the principles enunciated by this Court in the case of State of Haryana & Ors. v. Bhajan Lal & Ors., (1992) SCC Suppl.1 335.

- 9. We may also observe that it was the pleaded case of the Lokayukta before the High Court that the continuance of the trial was not on the very same evidence as what weighed with the authorities in exonerating the employee in the departmental proceedings. This fact, also appears not to have been considered by the High Court in its correct perspective.
- 10. For the aforesaid reasons, the present appeal is allowed and the impugned judgment and order dated 2nd January, 2023 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bangalore in Crl.P.No.606/2022 titled Sri Jayaraj v. State of Karnataka is quashed and set aside.
- 11. Consequentially, the FIR subject matter of the present proceedings stands restored to be taken to its logical end, in accordance with law. We clarify

that all questions of fact and law, as also other pleas raised, are left open for the parties to be agitated, if so advised and desired, before the appropriate forum at the appropriate stage.

J.	
(SANJAY KAROL)	
J.	
SHALACHANDRA VARALE)	

Dated : April 23, 2024;

Place: New Delhi.